Vol. 34 ( 2014 ) No. 1

# A NOTE ON DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR SEMILINEAR SECOND ORDER ELLIPTIC EQUATION

AO En<sup>1,2</sup>, ZHANG Guo-wei<sup>1</sup>

(1.Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Shenyang 110819, China) (2.School of Mathematics and Statistics, Chifeng University, Chifeng 024000, China)

**Abstract:** In this paper, we study the Dirichlet problem for semilinear second order elliptic equation. By using the mountain pass lemma and the least action principle, we obtain the existence of weak solution to semilinear second order elliptic equation under some new conditions which are different from the previous ones.

Keywords:elliptic equation; mountain pass lemma; least action principle; critical point2010 MR Subject Classification:35J20; 35B38Document code:AArticle ID:0255-7797(2014)01-0037-06

### 1 Introduction

We consider the following elliptic equation with Dirichlet boundary value condition

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(x, u), & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 3)$  with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$  and  $f \in C(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ .

Many authors were interested in studying the existence of nontrivial solution of (1.1) via variational methods, for example, see [1-14].

Ambrosetti, Rabinowitz [1] established the existence of nontrivial solution of (1.1) by applying mountain pass lemma under the following conditions (also see [3]).

(f<sub>1</sub>) There exist positive constants a, b and  $s \in \left(0, \frac{n+2}{n-2}\right)$  such that for  $(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|f(x,u)| \le a+b \left|u\right|^{s};$$

- (f<sub>2</sub>)  $\liminf_{u \to +\infty} \frac{f(x,u)}{u} > \lambda_1$  uniformly in  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ ;
- (f<sub>3</sub>) There exist positive constants  $\mu > 2$  and r > 0 such that for  $x \in \Omega$  and  $|u| \ge r$ ,

$$0 < \mu F(x, u) \le u f(x, u),$$

\* Received date: 2012-03-12 Accepted date: 2012-06-20

Foundation item: Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (10971179).

**Biography:** Ao En(1980–), female, Mongolian, born at Chifeng, Inner Mongolia, lecturer, major in nonlinear analysis and its applications.

where  $F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, t) dt$  and  $\lambda_1$  is the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian  $(-\Delta)$  on  $\Omega$  with zero Dirichlet boundary condition;

(f<sub>4</sub>)  $\limsup_{u \to 0} \frac{f(x,u)}{u} < \lambda_1$  uniformly in  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ .

As is well known,  $(f_3)$  is so-called Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition (see [1]), (AR) for short, which guarantees that Palais-Smale sequence of the Euler-Lagrange functional is bounded. Actually, integrating (AR) it follows that  $\liminf_{u\to+\infty} \frac{f(x,u)}{u} = +\infty$  uniformly in  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ , and hence condition (f<sub>2</sub>) can be eliminated in [3, Theorem 4.8.13]. Recently, Mavinga and Nkashama in [15] provided a new method to ensure that (PS) condition is satisfied. Motivated by above references, we in this paper prove the existence of solution for (1.1) by using mountain pass lemma, and replace (f<sub>1</sub>)–(f<sub>3</sub>) with

(f'\_1)  $\lambda_1 < \liminf_{|u| \to \infty} \frac{f(x,u)}{u} \le \limsup_{|u| \to \infty} \frac{f(x,u)}{u} < \lambda_2$  uniformly in  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ , where  $\lambda_2$  is the second eigenvalue of the Laplacian  $(-\Delta)$  on  $\Omega$  with zero Dirichlet boundary condition.

We also establish the existence of solution for (1.1) by the least action principle under the conditions:

- (f<sub>2</sub>)  $\limsup \frac{f(x,u)}{u} < \lambda_1$  uniformly in  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ ;
- (f'\_3)  $\liminf_{u\to 0^+} \frac{f(x,u)}{u} > \lambda_1$  uniformly in  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ .

Let the norm of u in Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  be  $||u||_{1,2} = (\int_{\Omega} |Du|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $||u||_2 = (\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  stand for the usual  $L^2$ -norm. In addition, the  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ -inner product is defined as  $[u, v] = \int_{\Omega} Du \cdot Dv dx$  and we denote the *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega$  by  $|\Omega|$ . From [10] we know that under (f<sub>1</sub>) the Euler-Lagrange functional

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |Du|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx, \ \forall u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

belongs to  $C^1(W_0^{1,2}(\Omega),\mathbb{R})$  and

$$(I'(u),\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} Du \cdot D\varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x,u)\varphi dx, \; \forall u,\varphi \in W^{1,2}_0(\Omega).$$

Thus the critical points of I are the weak solutions to (1.1).

From [5] we have the following facts. The Laplacian  $(-\Delta)$  on  $\Omega$  with zero Dirichlet boundary condition has a sequence of eigenvalues  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_j \leq \cdots \rightarrow \infty$ as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . The first eigenvalue

$$\lambda_1 = \min_{u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \|u\|_2 \neq 0} \frac{[u, u]}{\|u\|_2^2} = \min_{u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \|u\|_2 \neq 0} \frac{\|u\|_{1,2}^2}{\|u\|_2^2}$$
(1.2)

is simple and there exists an eigenfunction  $\varphi_1 \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  (see [2, Theorem 1.16]) corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  such that  $\varphi_1(x) > 0$  in  $\Omega$  and

$$\lambda_1 \|\varphi_1\|_2^2 = \|\varphi_1\|_{1,2}^2. \tag{1.3}$$

The eigenspace corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  can be expressed as  $V = \text{span}\{\varphi_1\} = \{t\varphi_1 : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  and

$$\lambda_2 = \min_{u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap V^{\perp}, \|u\|_2 \neq 0} \frac{\|u\|_{1,2}^2}{\|u\|_2^2}.$$
(1.4)

Now we introduce some auxiliary results which will be need in the sequel.

Let *E* be a real Banach space. For  $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ , we say *I* satisfies the Palais-Smale condition ((PS) for short) if any sequence  $\{u_m\} \subset E$  for which  $\{I(u_m)\}$  is bounded and  $I'(u_m) \to 0$  as  $m \to \infty$  possesses a convergent subsequence.

**Lemma 1** [10] (Mountain pass lemma) Let  $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$  satisfy (PS). Suppose I(0) = 0 and

- (I<sub>1</sub>) there are constants  $\rho, \alpha > 0$  such that  $I|_{\partial B_{\alpha}} \geq \alpha$ , and
- (I<sub>2</sub>) there is an  $e \in E \setminus \overline{B}_{\rho}$  such that  $I(e) \leq 0$ .

Then I possesses a critical value  $c \geq \alpha$ . Moreover c can be characterized as

$$c = \inf_{g \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in g([0,1])} I(u),$$

where  $\Gamma = \{g \in C([0,1], E) \mid g(0) = 0, g(1) = e\}.$ 

**Lemma 2** [11] (The least action principle) Suppose E is a reflexive Banach space and  $I: E \to \mathbb{R}$  is coercive and (sequentially) weakly lower semi-continuous, that is, the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (i)  $I(u) \to \infty$  as  $||u|| \to \infty$ ;
- (ii) For any  $u \in E$ , any sequence  $\{u_m\}$  in E such that  $u_m \to u$  weakly in E there holds

$$I(u) \le \liminf_{m \to \infty} I(u_m).$$

Then I is bounded from below and attains its infimum which is a critical value if  $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ .

#### 2 Main Results and Proofs

**Theorem 1** If  $(f'_1)$  and  $(f_4)$  are satisfied, then (1.1) has at least one nontrivial weak solution  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .

**Proof** We know easily from  $(f'_1)$  that  $(f_1)$  is fulfilled. By  $(f'_1)$  we take  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\lambda_1 + \varepsilon < \liminf_{|u| \to \infty} \frac{f(x,u)}{u} \le \limsup_{|u| \to \infty} \frac{f(x,u)}{u} < \lambda_2 - \varepsilon$ . Then there exists r > 0 such that for  $|u| \ge r$ ,

$$\lambda_1 + \varepsilon \le \frac{f(x, u)}{u} \le \lambda_2 - \varepsilon, \ \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$
(2.1)

First we prove that the functional I satisfies (PS). By (2.1) we denote  $\tau : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\tau(x,u) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x,u)}{u}, & |u| \ge r, \\ \frac{f(x,r) + f(x,-r)}{2r^2} u + \frac{f(x,r) - f(x,-r)}{2r}, & |u| < r. \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

Since f is continuous,  $\tau$  is continuous in  $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ . It is easy to see from (2.1) that

$$\lambda_1 + \varepsilon \le \tau(x, u) \le \lambda_2 - \varepsilon, \ \forall (x, u) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}.$$
(2.3)

Define  $l: \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $l(x, u) = f(x, u) - \tau(x, u)u$ . Then it follows from the continuity of f and  $\tau$  that there exists a constant k > 0 such that

$$|l(x,u)| \le k \tag{2.4}$$

for all  $(x, u) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Now suppose  $\{u_m\} \subset W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  for which  $\{I(u_m)\}$  is bounded and  $\lim_{m \to \infty} I'(u_m) = 0$ . Let  $u_m = v_m + w_m$ , where  $v_m \in V, w_m \in X = V^{\perp}$ .

Since  $\lim_{m\to\infty} I'(u_m) = 0$ , there exists N > 0 such that  $(I'(u_m), w_m - v_m) \leq \varepsilon ||w_m - v_m||_{1,2}$ for all  $m \geq N$ . According to the orthogonality of  $w_m$  and  $v_m$  in  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , we have

$$(I'(u_m), w_m - v_m) = \int_{\Omega} Du_m \cdot D(w_m - v_m) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_m)(w_m - v_m) dx$$
  
= 
$$\int_{\Omega} D(v_m + w_m) \cdot D(w_m - v_m) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_m)(w_m - v_m) dx$$
  
= 
$$\int_{\Omega} |Dw_m|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} |Dv_m|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} l(x, u_m) w_m dx + \int_{\Omega} l(x, u_m) v_m dx$$
  
$$- \int_{\Omega} \tau(x, u_m) w_m^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \tau(x, u_m) v_m^2 dx.$$

Thus

$$\|w_m\|_{1,2}^2 - \|v_m\|_{1,2}^2 - \int_{\Omega} \tau(x, u_m) w_m^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \tau(x, u_m) v_m^2 dx$$
  
$$\leq \quad \varepsilon \|w_m - v_m\|_{1,2} + \int_{\Omega} l(x, u_m) w_m dx - \int_{\Omega} l(x, u_m) v_m dx.$$

It follows from (2.3), (1.4) and (1.3) that

$$\begin{split} \|w_{m}\|_{1,2}^{2} - \|v_{m}\|_{1,2}^{2} - \int_{\Omega} \tau(x, u_{m}) w_{m}^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \tau(x, u_{m}) v_{m}^{2} dx \\ \geq \|w_{m}\|_{1,2}^{2} - \|v_{m}\|_{1,2}^{2} - (\lambda_{2} - \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} w_{m}^{2} dx + (\lambda_{1} + \varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} v_{m}^{2} dx \\ \geq \|w_{m}\|_{1,2}^{2} - \|v_{m}\|_{1,2}^{2} - \frac{\lambda_{2} - \varepsilon}{\lambda_{2}} \|w_{m}\|_{1,2}^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{1} + \varepsilon}{\lambda_{1}} \|v_{m}\|_{1,2}^{2} = \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_{2}} \|w_{m}\|_{1,2}^{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_{1}} \|v_{m}\|_{1,2}^{2}, \end{split}$$

and from (2.4) and (1.2) that

$$\varepsilon \|w_m - v_m\|_{1,2} + \int_{\Omega} l(x, u_m) w_m dx - \int_{\Omega} l(x, u_m) v_m dx$$

$$\leq \varepsilon (\|w_m\|_{1,2} + \|v_m\|_{1,2}) + k \int_{\Omega} |w_m| \, dx + k \int_{\Omega} |v_m| \, dx$$

$$\leq \varepsilon (\|w_m\|_{1,2} + \|v_m\|_{1,2}) + k |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_m\|_2 + k |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v_m\|_2$$

$$\leq (\varepsilon + k |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}) (\|w_m\|_{1,2} + \|v_m\|_{1,2}).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_2} \|w_m\|_{1,2}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1} \|v_m\|_{1,2}^2 \le (\varepsilon + k|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}) (\|w_m\|_{1,2} + \|v_m\|_{1,2}).$$

By the orthogonality of  $w_m$  and  $v_m$ , we have

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_2} \|u_m\|_{1,2}^2 = \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_2} (\|w_m\|_{1,2}^2 + \|v_m\|_{1,2}^2) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_2} \|w_m\|_{1,2}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1} \|v_m\|_{1,2}^2,$$

and  $||w_m||_{1,2} + ||v_m||_{1,2} \le 2||u_m||_{1,2}$ . Hence

$$\|u_m\|_{1,2}^2 \le 2(\varepsilon + k|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}})\frac{\lambda_2}{\varepsilon}\|u_m\|_{1,2},$$

which implies that  $\{u_m\}$  is bounded in  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ . By [10, Proposition B.35], I satisfies (PS).

By means of mountain pass lemma, the rest of proof is similar to the proofs in [3, Theorem 4.8.13] and [2, Theorem 8.11].

**Theorem 2** If  $(f_1)$ ,  $(f'_2)$  and  $(f'_3)$  are satisfied, then (1.1) has at least one nontrivial weak solution  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .

**Proof** It follows from  $(f_1)$  and [10, Proposition B.10] that I is weakly lower semicontinuous. We will prove that I is coercive.

By  $(\mathbf{f}'_2)$  and  $(\mathbf{f}'_3)$ , we can take  $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda_1$  and there exists 0 < r < R such that for |u| > R,

$$\frac{f(x,u)}{u} \le \lambda_1 - \varepsilon, \forall x \in \overline{\Omega},$$
(2.5)

and for 0 < u < r,

$$f(x,u) \ge (\lambda_1 + \varepsilon)u, \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$
(2.6)

From (2.5) we have that for |u| > R,  $F(x, u) \le \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \varepsilon)u^2$ ,  $\forall x \in \overline{\Omega}$ . For  $|u| \le R$ , it is easy to see from (f<sub>1</sub>) that  $F(x, u) \le aR + \frac{b}{s+1}R^{s+1} \triangleq C$ ,  $\forall x \in \overline{\Omega}$ . Then for every  $(x, u) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$F(x,u) \le \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \varepsilon)u^2 + C.$$
(2.7)

Thus for  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , we have from (2.7) and (1.2) that

$$I(u) \ge \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{1,2}^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_1 - \varepsilon) \|u\|_2^2 - C|\Omega| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2\lambda_1} \|u\|_{1,2}^2 - C|\Omega|$$

and I is coercive. It follows from Lemma 2 that I has a critical point in  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  such that  $I(u) = \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)} I(v)$ .

Now we show that it is nontrivial. In fact, let  $\varphi_1 \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  be the eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  with  $0 < \varphi_1(x) < r$  in  $\Omega$ . Hence by (2.6) and (1.3) we have

$$I(\varphi_1) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |D\varphi_1|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_0^{\varphi_1} f(x,t) dt \right) dx$$
  
$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi_1\|_{1,2}^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_1 + \varepsilon) \|\varphi_1\|_2^2 = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2\lambda_1} \|\varphi_1\|_{1,2}^2 < 0.$$

Therefore,

$$I(u) = \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)} I(v) \le I(\varphi_1) < 0$$

The proof is completed.

#### References

- Ambrosetti A, Rabinowitz P H. Dual variational methods in critical point and applications[J]. J. Funct. Anal., 1973, 14: 349–381.
- [2] Ambrosetti A, Malchiodi A. Nonlinear analysis and semilinear elliptic problems[M]. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [3] Chang K C. Methods in nonlinear analysis[M]. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2005.
- [4] Costa D G, Magalhães C A. Variational elliptic problems which are nonquadratic at infinity[J]. Nonlinear Anal., 1994, 23: 1401–1412.
- [5] Evans L C. Partial differential equations[M]. Providence RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1998.
- [6] Liu S. On superlinear problems without the Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz condition[J]. Nonlinear Anal., 2010, 73: 788–795.
- [7] Liu Z., Wang Z.Q. On the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz superlinear condition[J]. Adv. Nonlinear Stud., 2004, 4: 561–572.
- [8] Li G., Yang C. The existence of a nontrivial solution to a nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem of *p*-Laplacian type without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition[J]. Nonlinear Anal., 2010, 72: 4602–4613.
- Miyagaki O H, Souto M A S. Superlinear problems without Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz growth condition[J]. J. Differential Equations, 2008, 245: 3628–3638.
- [10] Rabinowitz P H. Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations
   [M]. CBMA Reg. Conf. Ser. Math., Vol. 65, Providence RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1986.
- [11] Struwe M. Variational methods-Applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems (4nd ed.) [M]. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- [12] Schechter M , Zou W. Superlinear problems[J]. Pacific J. Math., 2004, 214: 145–160.
- [13] Zhou H. An application of a mountain pass theorem[J]. Acta. Math. Sinica, 2002, 18: 27–36.
- [14] Zhou H. Positive solution for a semilinear elliptic equations which is almost linear at infinity[J]. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 1998, 49: 896–906.
- [15] Mavinga N, Nkashama M N. Steklov-Neumann eigenproblems and nonlinear elliptic equations with nonlinear boundary conditions[J]. J. Differential Equations, 2010, 248: 1212–1229.

## 关于二阶半线性椭圆方程的Dirichlet边值问题一个注记

敖 恩1,2,张国伟1

(1.东北大学数学系, 辽宁 沈阳 110819)

(2.赤峰学院数学与统计学院,内蒙古赤峰 024000)

**摘要**: 本文研究二阶半线性椭圆方程的Dirichlet边值问题.利用山路引理和最小作用原理,获得了在 新条件下具有Dirichlet边值问题的二阶半线性椭圆方程的弱解的存在性的结果. 关键词: 椭圆方程;山路引理;最小作用原理;临界点

MR(2010)主题分类号: 35J20; 35B38 中图分类号: O175.25; O175.8