The Correction of Extension of Steffensen's Inequality*

Cao Yuan

(Dept. Math., Fudan University, Shanghai)

Abstract

Richard Bellman pointed out an extension of Steffensen's inequality in [1] and [2]. But its result and proof are wrong. In this paper, these mistakes are corrected.

The well-known Steffensen's inequality is the following.

Let (a) f(t) be nonnegative and monotone decreasing in [a,b]; (b) g(t) satisfy the constraint $0 \le g(t) \le 1$, $t \in [a,b]$. Then

$$\int_{b-c}^{b} f(t) dt \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) dt \leq \int_{a}^{a+c} f(t) dt,$$

where $c = \int_a^b g(t) dt$.

Its proof refers to [1] or [2]. Olkin's inequality can be easily proved by applying Steffensen's inequality.

R. Ballman raises an extension in [2] as follows:

Theorem (Bellman). Let (a) f(t) be nonnegative and monotone decreasing in [a,b], $f \in L^p(a,b)$; (b) g(t) satisfy relation $g(t) \ge 0$ in [a,b] and $\int_a^b g^q dt \le 1$, where p > 1, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then

$$\left(\int_a^b f(t)g(t)dt\right)^p \leq \int_a^{a+c} f^p(t)dt,$$

where $c = \left(\int_a^b g(t) dt\right)^{p}$

Remark In [1] and [2], $c = a + (\int_a^b g(t) dt)^b$. But, from context, it is clearly a slip of the pen.

The following example shows that the theorem cannot be established.

Example | Let a = 0, b = 1, p = q = 2,

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} (2n(1-nt))^{1/2} & 0 \le t < \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n} \le t \le 1, \end{cases}$$

^{*}Received Jan. 9, 1989.

where is a real number greater than one. Again, let g(t) = f(t). So

$$\int_{a}^{b} (g(t))^{q} dt = \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} 2n(1-nt) dt = 1.$$

Then f(t) and g(t) satisfy Theorem's conditions. thus

$$c = \left(\int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt\right)^{p} = \left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} (2n(1-nt))^{\frac{1}{2}} dt\right)^{2} = \frac{8}{9n},$$

$$\left(\int_{a}^{b} f(t) g(t) dt\right)^{p} = \left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{n}} (2n(1-nt)) dt\right)^{2} - 1,$$

$$\int_{a}^{a+c} f^{p}(t) dt = \int_{0}^{\frac{8}{9n}} (2n(1-nt)) dt = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{9}\right)^{2},$$

therefore

$$\left(\int_a^b f(t) g(t) dt\right)^p > \int_a^{a+c} f^p(t) dt,$$

which is contrary to Bellman's Theorem.

We give a correction of the theorem as follows.

In Bellman's theorem

$$c = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{f(a+0)}{f(b-0)}\right)^{p-1} \left(\int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt\right)^{p}, & f(b-0) > 0\\ b-a & f(b-0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof Applying Hölder inequality

$$(\int_{a}^{t} f(x) g(x) dx)^{p} \le (\int_{a}^{t} f^{p}(x) dx) (\int_{a}^{t} g^{q}(x) dx)^{p/q} \le \int_{a}^{t} f^{p}(x) dx.$$
 (*)

Defining function u = u(t) in [a, b] determined by the following equation

$$\left(\int_a^t f(x) g(x) dx \right)^p = \int_a^{u(t)} f^p(x) dx,$$

clearly, u(t) satisfies relation $u(t) \le t$ and u(a) = a. For this reason, differential equation

$$f^{p}(u) \frac{du}{dt} = p f(t) g(t) \left(\int_{0}^{t} f(x) g(x) dx \right)^{p-1}$$

is established almost everywhere.

If f(b-0)>0, we have

$$\frac{du}{dt} \le p(\frac{f(a+0)}{f(b-0)})^{p-1} g(t) \left(\int_{a}^{t} g(x) dx \right)^{p-1} ,$$

as a result

$$u(t) \leq a + \left(\frac{f(a+0)}{f(b-0)}\right)^{p-1} \left(\int_a^t g(x) dx\right)^p.$$

If f(b-0)=0, from expression (*), we know $u(t) \le b$ is always held, while example 1 shows that equality can be held. Thus our proof is complete.

Example 2 Let
$$f(t) = d > 0$$
 (constant), $g(t) = e > 0$ (constant),

where
$$e \le (\frac{1}{b-a})^{1/q}$$
, so
$$c = \left(\frac{f(a+0)}{f(b-0)}\right)^{p-1} \left(\int_a^b g(t) dt\right)^p = (c(b-a))^p,$$
$$\left(\int_a^b f(t)g(t) dt\right)^p = (de(b-a))^p,$$
$$\int_a^{a+c} f^p(t) dt = (de(b-a))^p,$$

therefore

$$\left(\int_a^b f(t) g(t) dt\right)^p = \int_a^{a+c} f^p(t) dt.$$

Example 1 and example 2 indicate that the expression of c is optimal.

References

- [1] Beckenbach, E. F. and Bellman, R., Inequalities, Fourth Printing, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New york Tokyo. 48—49, 1983.
- [2] Bellman, R., Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10, 807-809, (1959).

关于Steffensen不等式推广的注记

曹 沅

(复旦大学数学系,上海)

摘 要

Richard Bellman在[1]与[2]中给出了 Steffensen不等式的一个推广。但结果与证明有误。本文更正了这些错误。