ie,

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
& \max \\
u & H_2 \setminus \{0\}, v & H_1 \setminus \{0\} & u & v & \leq U,
\end{array}$$

ie,

$$u \quad \stackrel{\text{m ax}}{H}_{0} \setminus \{0\} \quad \frac{P_{H_{1}} u}{u} \leq v$$

Acknowledgement The author is grateful to Prof. Jiang Erxiong for his help on this research.

## References

- [1] P. E. Bjorstard, Multip licative and additive schwarz methods, DDM for PDE (T. F. Chan et al, eds), SAM, 1989.
- [2] J. M andel and S. M. Comick, Iterative solution of elliptic equation with refinement: the two-level case, DDM for PDE (T. F. Chan et al, eds.), SAM, 1989.
- [3] Z. H. Cao, Multi-grid method, Fudan University Press, Shanghai, 1989 (in Chinese).
- [4] S Zhang, On the convergence rate of additive and multiplicative schwarz method, Chinese Symposium on Computational Mathematics, Tianjin, 1991.
- [5] J. G. Sun, Matrix perturbation analysis, Science Press, Beijing, 1987 (in Chinese).

## Schwarz 交替方向法的代数处理

黄 建 国

(上海交大应用数学系, 上海 200240)

## 摘 要

本文利用代数方法获得二子区域情形带松驰因子的加法型 Schwarz 交替方向法的最优松驰因子,结果表明代数平均是最优的 接着通过反例说明该结果不可推广至多子区域情形 最后,本文将该代数技巧用于证明一些现有的重要结果[1].[2].[3],和原有证明相比,现证简单,直观

## An Algebraic Approach to the Schwarz Alternating Methods

H uang J iang uo

(Dept of Appl Math, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 200240)

**Abstract** In this paper, the choice of the optimal parameters for a relaxation additive Schwarz alternating method in two subregions case is obtained by an algebraic method, which shows that the arithmetic average is the best A counterexample illustrates that the same result is not true for many subregions case. In the last, this technique is applied to demonstrate some well-known results [1], [2], [3] simply and intuitively.

**Keywords** Schwarz alternating method, space decomposition, convergence rate

Classification AM S (1991) 65F10, 65F30/CCL O 241. 6

We consider the following finite-dimensional problem:

$$\begin{cases} u & V \\ a(u, v) = (f, v), u & V, \end{cases}$$
 (1)

which arises from the discretization of regular elliptic equations (systems) by finite element or finite difference methods. Here a( $\cdot$ ,  $\cdot$ ) denotes the inner-product of a finite-dimensional Hilbert space V with induced norm  $\cdot$ , ( $\cdot$ ,  $\cdot$ ) means the dual form on V' $\times$ V, and V' represents the dual space of V, f V'. A ssume that V is split into two subspaces V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub>, i.e.,

$$V = V_1 + V_2 \tag{2}$$

Then it casts some additive Schwarz alternating methods solving (1) as follow s:

**ALG 1** A ssum  $e^{u^0}$  V is the initial guess. Then  $u^n$  denotes the approximation at the nth step defined by

$$\begin{cases} u^{2n+i} - u^{2n+1+i} & V_{i+1}, \\ a(u^{2n+i+1}, v) = (f, v), v & V_{i+1}, i = 0, 1, \end{cases}$$

which goes to the accurate solution u as n tends to infinity.

**ALG 2** A ssume that  $\omega$ ,  $\omega$  (0, 1) are two relaxation parameters,  $\omega + \omega = 1$ ,  $u^0$  V is the initial guess. Then  $u^n$  is formed by

<sup>\*</sup> Received Jun 6, 1994 This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China

$$\begin{cases} u^{n} - u^{n,i} & V_{i}, \\ a(u^{n,i}, v) = (f, v), v & V, i = 1, 2, \end{cases}$$

$$u^{n+1} = \omega_1 u^{n,1} + \omega_2 u^{n,2}$$

In the case of  $\omega = \omega = \frac{1}{2}$ , ALG 2 is called ALG 2' which is particularly important. The benefit of the above algorithms is the parallelization and the scale reduction of the original problem. As usual, let  $\varepsilon^n = u^n - u$ , thus the iterative operators for ALG 1, 2, 2' are

$$\mathbf{\varepsilon}^{2n+1+i} = P_{v_{i+1}} \mathbf{\varepsilon}^{2n+i}, i = 0, 1, 
\mathbf{\varepsilon}^{n+1} = (\omega P_{v_1} + \omega P_{v_2}) \mathbf{\varepsilon}^n, 
\mathbf{\varepsilon}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} (P_{v_1} + P_{v_2}) \mathbf{\varepsilon}^n,$$

respectively, here  $V_i$  denotes the orthogonal complementary subspace of  $V_i$  in V with respect to the inner-product  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  and the relative orthogonal projection operator is denoted by  $P_{V_i}$ , i=1,2 Let  $\rho(A)$  denote the spectral radius of a linear operator A which decides the convergence rate of its relative iteration. The interesting and useful questions are finding the relationship between the convergence rates of ALG1 and ALG2', and the choices of the optimal relaxation parameters  $\omega$ ,  $\omega$  to ensure the deepest convergence of ALG2

The first question was answered by Bjorstard<sup>[1]</sup> who gave a beautiful identity between  $\rho(P_{v_2} P_{v_1})$  and  $\rho(\frac{1}{2}(P_{v_1} + P_{v_2}))$ , but his proof is tedious. A fterwards, Dr. Zhang Sheng<sup>[4]</sup> introduced an elegant proof. In this paper, we shall present an algebraic proof for this result, which is more intuitive and essential. This idea can also be utilized to deal with the second question and demonstrate the fundamental lemma of the two level multi-grid method.

In order to derive these results, we first recall some well-known lemm as

**Lemma 1**<sup>[5]</sup> A ssum e both of the matrices  $X = R^{n \times r}$ ,  $Y = R^{n \times s}$  are columns orthogonal with  $r \le s$ . Then there exist Q = O(n) (set of  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrices), U = O(r), V = O(s) such that

$$QXU = \begin{bmatrix} I \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, QYV = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma & 0 \\ 0 & I \\ \Sigma & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

w here  $\Gamma$ = diag(1, 2, ..., r),  $0 \le 1 \le 2 \le ... \le r \le 1$ ,  $\sum + \Gamma^2 = 1$ ,  $\sum \ge 0$ , I denotes the identity matrix.

**Lemma 2**<sup>[5]</sup> A ssum eA  $R^{m \times n}$ . Then the orthogonal projection operator f rom  $R^m$  onto the range space R(A) w ith respect to the conventional Euclidean inner-product is  $P_{R(A)} = AA^+$ , here  $A^+$  denotes the M oore-P enrose inverse

**Theorem 1** As  $\omega = \omega = \frac{1}{2}$ , the convergence rate of ALGI is optimal

**Proof** W ithout loss of generality, let dim V = n, dim  $V_1 = r$ , dim  $V_2 = s$  w ith  $r \le s$  Let  $\{\Psi_i\}_{1}^{n}$  denote the orthonormal bases of V. Introduce the following isometric transformation:

T: 
$$R^n V$$
,  
 $x = (x_1, x_2, ...x_n)$   $Tx = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathcal{Q}$  (3)

We shall show that T is an orthogonal transformation. That is, let T denote the transpose of T. Then

$$T T = I_{R^n}, TT = I_V, (4)$$

where T is defined by

$$\begin{cases} T : V = R^n, \\ a(Tx, v) = [x, T \ v], x = R^n, v = V, \end{cases}$$

$$(5)$$

here  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is the inner-product of the Euclidean space  $R^n$ ,  $[x, y] = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ . We only prove the second identity in (4), proof of the first one is similar. For any  $u, v \in V$ ,

$$a(TT u, v) = [T u, T v] \quad (from (5))$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \{ [T (u + v), T (u + v)] - [T (u - v), T (u - v)] \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \{ a(TT (u + v), TT (u + v)) - a(TT (u - v), TT (u - v)) \}$$

$$= a(TT u, TT v)$$

which shows TT is the identity operator on V, since TT is surjective

Let  $P_1 = T P_{V_1} T$ ,  $P_2 = T P_{V_2} T$ . It follows from (4) that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are both orthogonal projection operators on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and

$$\omega P_{V_1} + \omega P_{V_2} = T (\omega P_1 + \omega P_2) T.$$

Suppose that  $\{a_i\}_1^r$  and  $\{b_i\}_1^s$  are the orthonormal bases of the range space of  $P_k$ , respectively, k=1,2 Define

$$X = [a_1, a_2, ...a_r] \quad R^{n \times r}, Y = [b_1, b_2, ...b_s] \quad R^{n \times s}.$$

Then from Lemma 2, it is easy to know that

$$P_1 = XX , P_2 = YY$$
.

From Lemma 1, there exist Q = O(n), U = O(r), and W = O(s) such that

$$X = Q \begin{bmatrix} I \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} U$$
,  $Y = Q \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma & 0 \\ 0 & I \\ \Sigma & 0 \end{bmatrix} W$ ,

here  $\Gamma = d \log (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ... \sigma_r)$ ,  $0 \le \sigma_i \le \sigma_2 \le ... \le \sigma_r < 1$  (the last inequality comes from (2)),  $\Sigma^2 + \Gamma^2 = I$ ,  $\Sigma \ge 0$  Hence,

$$\omega P_1 + \omega P_2 = Q \begin{bmatrix} \omega I + \omega \Gamma^2 & 0 & \omega \Gamma \Sigma \\ 0 & \omega I & 0 \\ \omega \Gamma \Sigma & 0 & \omega \Sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} Q,$$

with the eigenvalues

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm (1 - 4(1 - \sigma_i^2) \omega_i (1 - \omega_i))^{1/2}), \text{ or } f \text{ or } \omega_i, i = 1, 2, ... r.$$

It is trivial that

$$\omega_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2} (1 + (1 - 4(1 - \sigma_{r}^{2})\omega_{1}(1 - \omega_{1}))^{1/2}), 0 < \omega_{1} < 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\rho(\omega_{P_1} + \omega_{P_2}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + (1 - 4(1 - \sigma_r^2)\omega_1(1 - \omega_1))^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Observing that  $\omega(1-\omega) \le 1/4$ , we see

$$\rho(\omega_{P_1} + \omega_{P_2}) \ge (1 + \sigma_r)/2,$$

and the equality is arrived only if  $\omega = \omega = 1/2$  Because of the spectred in invariance of the orthogonal transformation. Theorem 1 then follows in the last

**Remark** If the space V is split into three or more subspace, we can design similarly an additive Schwarz alternating iterative algorithm with iterative operator

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \omega P v_i$$
,  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \omega = 1$ , and  $\omega > 0$ 

It can be shown that the optimal relaxation parameters for  $m \ge 3$  are not  $\omega = \omega = \ldots = \omega_n = 1/m$ . **Example** m = 3, let  $V = V_1 + V_2$ ,  $V_3 = V_2$ . Thus,  $V = V_1 + V_2 + V_3$ , the iterative matrix

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \omega_i P_{V_i} = \omega_i P_{V_1} + (\omega_i + \omega_i) P_{V_2}.$$

So the optimal parameters should be

$$\omega = \omega + \omega = 1/2$$
, and not  $\omega = \omega = \omega = 1/3$ 

Now we give algebraic proof for the following results:

**Theorem 2**<sup>[1]</sup> Let  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$  denote the spectral radii of  $\frac{1}{2}(Pv_1 + Pv_2)$  and  $Pv_2Pv_1$  respectively. Then the following identity holds:

$$\sigma = (2\rho - 1)^2$$
.

**Proof** In terms of the above argument, we need to consider only the operators

$$\frac{1}{2}(P_{2} + P_{1}) = \frac{1}{2}Q \begin{bmatrix} I + \Gamma^{2} & 0 & \Gamma \Sigma \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ \Sigma \Gamma & 0 & \Sigma^{2} \end{bmatrix} Q,$$

$$P_{2}P_{1} = Q \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma^{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \Sigma \Gamma & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} Q,$$

with  $\Gamma = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_r)$ ,  $0 \le \sigma_1 \le \sigma_2 \le ... \le \sigma_r < 1$ . Thus  $\sigma = \sigma_r^2$ , and the eigenvalues of

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Gamma^2 + I & 0 & \Gamma \Sigma \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ \Sigma \Gamma & 0 & \Sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfy that

$$\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1 - \sigma_i^2 = 0, \lambda = 1 \pm \sigma_i, i = 1, 2, ... r$$

Therefore,

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \sigma_r),$$

ie,

$$\sigma = (2\rho - 1)^2$$
.

**Theorem 3**<sup>[2]</sup> If  $H = H_1 + H_2$ , then

$$\cos (H_1, H_2) = \cos (H_1, H_2).$$

**Proof** Obviously,

$$\cos s(H_{1}, H_{2}) = \rho(P_{H_{2}}P_{H_{1}}), \cos s(H_{1}, H_{2}) = \rho(P_{H_{2}}P_{H_{1}}).$$

Let dim H = n, dim  $H_1 = r$ , dim  $H_2 = s$  with  $r \le s$  By the same argument above, define  $P_i = T$   $P_{H_i}$ , T, i = 1, 2 Then

$$\rho(P_{H_2}P_{H_1}) = \rho(P_2P_1) = \sigma_r^2,$$

and

$$\rho(P_{H_2}P_{H_1}) = \rho((I - P_2)(I - P_1)) = \rho \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\Gamma \Sigma \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I - \Sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma_{h}^{2}$$

The result then follow s

Theorem 3 leads to the following result which is widely used in multigrid method:

**Theorem**  $4^{[4]}$  Let  $H = H_{1} + H_{2}$  If there exists v = n(0, 1) satisfying

$$\left| (v, w) \right| \leq v \quad v \quad w \quad , v \quad H_{1}, w \quad H_{2}, \tag{6}$$

and u is optimal on  $H_1 + H_2$ , i.e.,  $P_{H_2}u = 0$  Then

$$u - P_H u \leq U u$$
.

**Proof** It follows from Theorem 3 and the condition (6) that

$$\cos(H_2, H_1) \leq U$$