

## A Survey of Numerical Method for Solitary Waves ( II )<sup>\*</sup>

Kuo Penyu (郭本瑜)

(Science and Technology University of Shanghai)

### III Finite Element Methods

We take element  $I_j = [jh - h, jh]$ ,  $I = \sum_{j=1}^N I_j$ . Let  $\Phi_h$  and  $\Psi_h$  be the trial function space and test function space respectively with basis  $\varphi_j(x)$  and  $\psi_j(x)$ . We suppose

$$U^h(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^N U_j(t) \varphi_j(x), \quad x \in I, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The usual Galerkin method is to find  $U^h \in L^\infty(0, T; \Phi_h)$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial t} V - \frac{1}{2} (U^h)^2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \right) dx = 0, \quad \forall V \in \Phi_h, \\ U^h(x, 0) = U_0^h(x) \in \Phi_h, \quad \Phi_h \subset H^2. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

In order to improve the stability and convergence, the dissipative finite element scheme is used, i. e. to find  $U^h \in L^\infty(0, T; \Phi_h)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial t} + U^h \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^3 U^h}{\partial x^3} \right) \left( V + ah^3 \frac{\partial^3 V}{\partial x^3} \right) dx = 0, \quad \forall V \in \Phi_h, \\ U^h(x, 0) = U_0^h(x) \in \Phi_h, \quad \Phi_h \subset H^3. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

Now let  $\mu$  and  $k$  be integers,  $\mu > k \geq 0$ ,

$$S_h(\mu, k) = \{ V(x) / V(x+1) = V(x), \quad V(x) \in C^k(\mathbb{R}) \\ V(x) | I_j \text{ is } \mu \text{ degree polynomial}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N \}.$$

Wahbin (1974) adopted scheme (22) with  $a=1$ ,  $\Phi_h = S_h(\mu, k)$ ,  $k \geq 2$ , and obtained the following result:

**Theorem 2** If  $\Phi_h = S_h(\mu, k)$ ,  $k \geq 2$ ,  $a=1$ ,  $T$  is fixed,

$$\int_0^1 (U_0 - U_0^h)^2 dx = O(h^{2\mu+2}),$$

then for all  $t \leq T$ , we have

$$\int_0^1 (U(x, t) - U^h(x, t))^2 dx = O(h^{2\mu+2}).$$

Alexander & Morris (1979) made numerical experiments for different values of  $\alpha$ . Since the computation is too complicated with  $\mu \geq 3$ , hence Winther (1980) rewrote (1) as follows

<sup>\*</sup>Received Oct, 11, 1982.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} &= 0, \\ W &= -\left(\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} + \frac{U^2}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

then to find  $(U^h, W^h) \in L^\infty(0, T; \Phi_h) \times L^\infty(0, T; \Phi_h)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial W^h}{\partial x} &= 0, \\ \int_0^1 W^h V dx &= \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - \frac{(U^h)^2}{2} V \right) dx = 0, \quad \forall V \in \Phi_h, \\ U^h(x, 0) &= U_0^h(x) \in \Phi_h, \quad \Phi_h \subset H^1. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Recently two new techniques were used for saving work.

- (i) Petrov-Galerkin method, i. e.  $\Phi_h \neq \Psi_h$ ,  
(ii) Product Approximation Method, i. e. we take  $\sum_{j=1}^N g(U_j^h(t)) \varphi_j(x)$  as the approximation of  $g(U)$ . For simplicity we denote

$$\dot{U}_l^h(t) = \frac{dU_l^h(t)}{dt}, \quad \varphi_l'(x) = \frac{\partial \varphi_l(x)}{\partial x}, \text{ etc}$$

then the product Petrov-Galerkin Method for (1) is as follows,

$$\sum_{l=1}^N \int_0^1 \left[ \dot{U}_l(t) \varphi_l(x) \psi_j(x) - \frac{1}{2} U_l^2(t) \varphi_l(x) \psi_j'(x) + U_l(t) \varphi_l'(x) \psi_j''(x) \right] dx = 0. \quad (25)$$

In the following discussion, we put  $\Phi_h = S_h(1, 0)$ , i. e.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j(x) &= \varphi\left(\frac{x}{h} - j\right), \\ \varphi(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 - |x|, & x < 1, \\ 0 & x \geq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Sanz-Serna & Christie (1981) took  $\Psi_h = S_h(3, 2)$  which is the smoothest spline function space,  $\psi_j(x)$  has support with length  $4h$ . After computation we get from (25)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{120} (\dot{U}_{j+2}^h + 26\dot{U}_{j+1}^h + 66\dot{U}_j^h + 26\dot{U}_{j-1}^h + \dot{U}_{j-2}^h) \\ & + \frac{1}{48h} [(U_{j+2}^h)^2 + 10(U_{j+1}^h)^2 - 10(U_{j-1}^h)^2 - (U_{j-2}^h)^2] \\ & + \frac{1}{2h^3} (U_{j+2}^h - 2U_{j+1}^h + 2U_{j-1}^h - U_{j-2}^h) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Schoombie (1980) and Mitchell & Schoombie (1981) took  $\Psi_h$  as shift function space, i. e.

$$\psi_j(x) = \varphi_{j-a}(x), \quad 0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

then it follows from (25) that

$$\sum_{l=1}^N \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \dot{U}_l(t) \varphi_l(x) + \frac{1}{2} U_l^2(t) \varphi_l'(x) + U_l(t) \varphi_l''(x) \right] \varphi_{j-a}(x) dx = 0.$$

After computation, we get

$$\sum_{l=-2}^1 [a_l \dot{U}_{j+l}^h(t) + b_l (U_{j+l}^h(t))^2 + c_l U_{j+l}^h(t)] = 0,$$

where

$$a_l = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_{j+l}(x) \varphi_{j-a}(x) dx, \quad b_l = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi'_{j+l}(x) \varphi_{j-a}(x) dx, \quad c_l = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi''_{j+l}(x) \varphi_{j-a}(x) dx.$$

Now let

$$V * W(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(\xi) W(x - \xi) d\xi,$$

and  $B_l(x)$  be B-spline function (See Schoenberg (1966)), i. e.

$$B_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| < \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0, & |x| \geq \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$B_l(x) = B_0 * B_{l-1}(x), \quad l \geq 1,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} a_l &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{h} - j - l\right) \varphi\left(\frac{x}{h} - j + a\right) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\xi - (a+l)) \varphi(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \varphi * \varphi(a+l) = B_1 * B_1(a+l) = B_0 * B_0 * B_1(a+l) = B_1 * B_2(a+l) = B_3(a+l). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$b_l = B'_3(a+l), \quad c_l = B''_3(a+l).$$

The values of  $a_l$ ,  $b_l$  and  $c_l$  are given in table 2.

|       | $l = -2$        | $l = -1$                      | $l = 0$                    | $l = 1$               |
|-------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| $a_l$ | $\frac{a^3}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}(1+3a+3a^2-3a^3)$ | $\frac{1}{6}(4-6a^2+3a^3)$ | $\frac{1}{6}(1-a)^3$  |
| $b_l$ | $\frac{a^2}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}(1-a)(1+3a)$      | $\frac{a}{2}(-4+3a)$       | $-\frac{1}{2}(1-a)^2$ |
| $c_l$ | 1               | -3                            | 3                          | -1                    |

Table 2

Finite element method was used for R. L. W. equation also, e. g.

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial t} + a \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial x} + U^h \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial x} - \beta \frac{\partial^3 U^h}{\partial x^2 \partial t} \right) \left( V + h \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) dx = 0, \quad \forall V \in \Phi_h, \\ U^h(x, 0) = U_0^h(x) \in \Phi_h, \quad \Phi_h \subset H^2. \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

The detail could be found in Alexander & Morris (1979) and Bona, Pritchard & Scott (1980).

For nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, Kuo Pen-yu (1982) proposed the scheme as follows

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{\partial^2 U^h}{\partial t^2} V + \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - U^h V + (U^h)^3 V \right] dx = 0, \quad \forall V \in \Phi^h, \\ \frac{\partial U^h(x, t)}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = U_1^h(x) \in \Phi_h, \\ U^h(x, 0) = U_0^h(x) \in \Phi_h, \quad \Phi_h \subset H^1 \cap L^4. \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

By using the technique given in Kuo Pen-yu (1982), we can obtain the strict error estimation.

Manoranjan (1980) used finite element method for Sine-Gordon equation, i. e. to find  $U^h \in L^\infty(0, T; \Phi_h)$  such that

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\partial^2 U^h}{\partial t^2} V + \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + \sin U^h \cdot V \right) dx = 0, \quad \forall V \in \Phi_h, \\ & \left. \frac{\partial U^h(x, t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = U_1^h(x) \in \Phi_1, \\ & U^h(x, 0) = U_0^h(x) \in \Phi_1, \quad \Phi_h \subset H^1. \end{aligned} \right. \quad (29)$$

Clearly we can use high degree finite element to get the scheme with high order accuracy. But they are always implicit. So we must pay more work by using finite element than by using difference method. On the other hand, the numerical results showed that the finite difference scheme is better sometimes than finite element even though the high degree element is applied.

#### IV Spectral methods

A numerical procedure competitive with the finite difference methods and finite element methods is the Fourier expansion method.

The hybrid methods where the Fourier expansion is partially employed have been proposed by Gazdag (1973), Tappert (1974), Canosa & Gazdag (1977) and others. Canosa & Gazdag (1977) considered the following equation.

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - \gamma \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} + \delta \frac{\partial^3 U}{\partial x^3} = 0, \quad \gamma > 0, \delta > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, \\ & \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} U(x, t) = 1, \quad t \geq 0, \\ & \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} U(x, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0, \\ & U(x, 0) = U_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \right. \quad (30)$$

They put

$$U^h(x, t + \tau) = U^h(x, t) + \tau \frac{\partial U^h(x, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\tau^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 U^h(x, t)}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\tau^3}{6} \frac{\partial^3 U^h(x, t)}{\partial t^3}, \quad (31)$$

and derived

$$\frac{\partial U^h}{\partial t} = -U^h \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial x} + \gamma \frac{\partial^2 U^h}{\partial x^2} - \delta \frac{\partial^3 U^h}{\partial x^3}, \quad (32)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 U^h}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{\partial U^h}{\partial t} \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial x} - U^h \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial t} \right) + \gamma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial t} \right) - \delta \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} \left( \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial t} \right), \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^3 U^h}{\partial t^3} &= \frac{\partial^2 U^h}{\partial t^2} \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial x} - 2 \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial U^h}{\partial t} \right) - U^h \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial^2 U^h}{\partial t^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \gamma \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 U^h}{\partial t^2} \right) - \delta \frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} \left( \frac{\partial^2 U^h}{\partial t^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where the  $x$ -derivative terms in (32) - (34) are computed by Fourier methods, i. e. Let  $U^h(n, t)$  be the finite Fourier transform of  $U^h(x, t)$  defined over the computational domain. The  $l'$ -th-order derivative of  $U^h(x, t)$  is then given by

$$\frac{\partial^{l'} U^h}{\partial x^{l'}} = \sum_n (in)^{l'} U^h(n, t) e^{inx},$$

where  $i^2 = -1$ , and the summation is carried out for all wave numbers  $n$  which can be represented over the computational mesh. This scheme gave more accurate result than usual difference scheme.

Schamel & Elsässer (1976), Watanabe, Ohishi, Tanaka (1977) devoted other methods. In these methods the unknown function is expanded in terms of the Fourier series and the original partial differential equation is reduced to a set of ordinary differential equations for the Fourier coefficients.

Abe & Inoue (1980) considered the equation (1) with  $U_0(x) = \cos 2\pi x, 0 \leq x \leq 1$ . Let

$$U^h(x, t) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} a_l(t) e^{2i\pi l x},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{da_l(t)}{dt} &= -2\pi i \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} l a_{l-m}(t) a_m(t) + 8i\pi^3 l^3 a_l(t) \\ &= -\pi l i \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{l-m}(t) a_m(t) + 8i\pi^3 l^3 a_l(t). \end{aligned}$$

In order to make truncation, we require

$$a_l(t) = 0, \quad |l| \geq l_1.$$

Recently Kuo Pen-yu (1980) proposed an new scheme for periodic solution of problem (1) (assume the Period is 1). He defined

$$J(V(x, t_k), W(x, t_k)) = \frac{1}{3} W(x, t_k) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(x, t_k) + \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (W(x, t_k) V(x, t_k)),$$

and let

$$U^{(n)}(x, t_k) = \frac{a_0^{(n)}(t_k)}{2} + \sum_{l=1}^n a_l^{(n)}(t_k) \cos lx + b_l^{(n)}(t_k) \sin lx,$$

satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^1 \left[ U_t^{(n)}(t_k) \varphi_l(x) + J(U^{(n)}(t_k) + \sigma \tau U_t^{(n)}(t_k), U^{(n)}(t_k)) \varphi_l(x) + \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (U^{(n)}(t_k) \right. \\ \quad \left. + \sigma \tau U_t^{(n)}(t_k)) \varphi_l'(x) - \delta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (U^{(n)}(t_k) + \sigma \tau U_t^{(n)}(t_k)) \varphi_l'(x) \right] dx = 0, \\ U^{(n)}(x, 0) = \frac{A_0}{2} + \sum_{l=1}^n A_l \cos lx + B_l \sin lx, \end{cases}$$

where  $\varphi_l = \sin lx$  or  $\cos lx$ ,  $A_l$  and  $B_l$  are the coefficients of Fourier transform of initial value  $U_0(x)$ . Kuo Pen-yu gave a series of strict error estimation, from which the convergences followed.

Another method is given by Fornberg & Whitham (1978).

Spectral method has the following advantages:

- (i) We get always explicit scheme because of orthogonality of basis functions.
- (ii) If we use finite difference method, then the accuracy of approximate solution is limited for the fixed scheme, even though the solution of partial differential equation is very smooth. If we use spectral method, then the more smooth the solution, the more accurate the approximate solution.

## References

- [1] Abe K. & Inoue O., 1980, Fourier expansion solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *J. Comp. Phys.*, Vol 34, 202—210.
- [2] Ablowitz, M. J., Kaup, D. J., Newell, A. C. and Segur, H., 1973, Method for solving the Sine-Gordon equation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, Vol. 30, 1262—1264.
- [3] Ablowitz, M. J., Segur, H., 1981, Solitons and the inverse spectral transform, Philadelphia, SIAM.
- [4] Ablowitz, M. J., Kruskal, M. D. and Ladik, J. F., 1979, Solitary wave collisions, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, Vol. 38, 428—437.
- [5] Alexander, M. E. and Morris, J. L., 1979, Galerkin methods applied to some model equations for non-linear dispersive waves, *J. Comp. Phys.*, Vol. 30, 428—451.
- [6] Benjamin, T. B., Bona, J. L., and Mahony, J. J., 1972, Model equations for long waves in non-linear dispersive systems, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A*, Vol. 272, 47—78.
- [7] Bona, J. L., 1981, Solitary waves and other phenomena associated with model equations for long waves, To appear, *Fluid Dynamics Transactions*. Vol. 10.
- [8] Bona, J. L., Pritchard, W. G. and Scott, L. R., 1980, Solitary-wave interaction. Fluid Mechanics Research Institute, University of Essex, Report No. 94.
- [9] Bowtell, G. and Stuart, A. E. G., 1977, Interacting Sine-Gordon solitons and classical particles: A dynamic equivalence, *Physical Review D* Vol. 15, 3580—3591.
- [10] Bullough, R. K. and Caudrey, P. J., 1980, The soliton and its history solitons, Ed. R. K. Bullough and P. J. Caudrey, Topics in Current Physics, 1—64, Springer-Verlag.
- [11] Courant R., Friedrichs K. & Lewy H., 1928, Über die partiellen differenzengleichungen der mathematischen physik, *Math. Ann.*, Vol 100, 32—74.
- [12] Calogero, F., Degasperis, A., 1982, Spectral Transform and Solitons, Amsterdam, North-Holland.
- [13] Canosa, J. and Gazdag, J., 1977, The Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers equation, *J. Comp. Phys.*, Vol 23, 393—403.
- [14] Dodd, R. K., Eilbeck, J. C., Gibbon J. D., Morris, H. C., Solitons and Nonlinear-wave Equations, Academic Press, London, 1982.
- [15] Eilbeck, J. C., 1978, Numerical Studies of Solitons, Solitons and Condensed Matter Physics, Ed. A. R. Bishop and T. Schneider, Springer series in solid-state sciences, Vol. 8, Springer Verlag. 28—43.
- [16] Eilbeck, J. G. and McGuire, G. R., 1975, Numerical study of the regularised long-wave equation I: Numerical methods. *J. Comp. Phys.*, Vol. 19, 43—57.
- [17] Eilbeck, J. C. and McGuire, G. R., 1977, Numerical study of the regularised long-wave equation II. Interaction of solitary waves. *J. Comp. Phys.*, Vol. 23, 63—73.
- [18] Fornberg, B. and Whitham, G. B., 1978, A numerical and theoretical study of certain non-linear wave phenomena, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc.*, Vol. 289, 373—404.
- [19] Gazdag, J., 1978, Numerical convective schemes based on accurate computation of space derivative, *J. Comp. Phys.*, Vol. 13, 100—113.
- [20] Greig, I. S. and Morris, J. L., 1976, A hopscotch method for the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *J. Comp. Phys.*, Vol. 20, 64—80.
- [21] Griffiths D. F., Mitchell A. R. & Morris J. L., 1982, A numerical study of the nonlinear schrödinger equation. Report NA/82, Dundee.
- [22] Hirota, R., 1971, Exact solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation for multiple collisions of solitons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, Vol. 27, 1192—1194.
- [23] Hirota R. & Suzuki K., 1973, Exact envelope-soliton solutions of a nonlinear wave equation, *J. Math. Phys.*, Vol. 14, 805—809.

- [24] Ismail, M. S., 1980, Finite difference solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation, M. Sc. Thesis, University of Dundee.
- [25] Jeffrey, A. and Kakutani, T., 1972, Weak nonlinear dispersive waves: A discussion centred around the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *SIAM Review*, Vol. 14, 582—643.
- [26] Korteweg, D. J. and de Vries, G., 1895, On the change of form of long waves advancing in a rectangular canal, and on a new type of long stationary waves, *Phil. Mag.*, Vol. 39, 422—443.
- [27] Kuo Pen-yu, 1985, A class of difference scheme for two-dimensional vorticity equation of viscous fluid. Report NA, Mathematics Department of Science and Technology University of Shanghai, also see *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, Vol. 17, 242—258.
- [28] Kuo Pen-yu, 1976, The numerical method and error estimation for incompressible viscous fluid problem, *Kexue Tongbao*, Vol. 21, 127—131.
- [29] Kuo Pen-yu, 1980, Error estimation of spectral scheme for solving K. D. V-Burgers equation, to appear in *Acta Mathematica Sinica*.
- [30] Kuo Pen-yu, 1982, Numerical solution of non-linear wave equation, *Mathematica Numerica Sinica* Vol. 4, 46—56.
- [31] Kuo Pen-yu and Sanz-Serna J. M., 1981, Convergence of methods for the numerical solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *IMAJNA*, Vol. 1, 215—221.
- [32] Kuo Pen-yu and Wu Hua-mo, 1981, Numerical solution of K. D. V. equation, *JMAA*, Vol. 82, 334—345.
- [33] Lamb, G. L. Jr., 1980, *Elements of Soliton Theory*, John Wiley, New York.
- [34] Lax, P. D., 1976, Almost periodic solutions of the K. D. V. equation, *SIAM Review*, Vol. 18, 351—375.
- [35] Makhankov, V. G., 1975, Dynamics of classical solitons, *Physics Letters C*, Vol. 35, 1—128.
- [36] Manoranjan, V., 1980, Finite element methods for the Klein-Gordon equation, M. Sc. Thesis, University of Dundee.
- [37] Mitchell, A. R. and Schoombie S. W., 1981, Finite element studies of solitons, Dundee, Report NA/47.
- [38] Miura, R. M., 1976, The Korteweg-de Vries equation. A survey of results, *SIAM Review*, Vol. 18, 412—459.
- [39] Morton K. W., 1977, Initial-value problems by finite difference and other methods in "the state of the art in numerical analysis", ed by Jacobs, D. A. H., Academic Press, 699—758.
- [40] Olsen, O. H., 1979, On the break-up of quasi-soliton solutions to the Sine-Gordon equation in one and more dimensions, *Physics Letters*, Vol. 74A, 18—20.
- [41] Peregrine, D. H., 1966, Calculation of the development of an undular bore, *J. Fluid Mech.*, Vol. 25, 321—330.
- [42] Perring, J. K. and Skyrme, T. H. R., 1962, A model unified field equation, *Nucl. Phys.*, Vol. 31, 550—555.
- [43] Richtmyer, R. D. & Morton K. W., 1967, *Finite Difference Methods for Initial Value Problem*, 2nd edition, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York.
- [44] Russell, J. S., Report on waves, 1844, 14th Meeting of the British Assoc, for the advancement of science. John Murray, London, 311—390.
- [45] Sanz-Serna, J. M. and Christie, I., 1981, Petrov-Galerkin methods for nonlinear dispersive waves, *J. Comp. Phys.*, Vol. 39, 94—102.
- [46] Schoenberg, I. J., 1946, Contributions to the approximation of equidistant data by analytic functions, *Quart. Appl. Math.*, Vol. 4, 45—99.
- [47] Schoombie, S. W., 1980, Finite element methods for the Korteweg-de Vries equation I. Galerkin method with hermite cubics, Report NA/43, Department of Mathematics, University of Dundee.

- [48] Schoombie, S. W., 1980, Finite element methods for the Korteweg-de Vries equation II, Petrov-Galerkin methods with splines, Report NA/44, Department of Mathematics, University of Dundee.
- [49] Scott, A. C., Chu, F. Y. F. and McLaughlin, D. W., 1973, The soliton: A new concept in applied science, *Proc. I. E. E. E.*, Vol. 61, 1443—1483.
- [50] Schamel, H. & Elsässer, K., 1976, The application of the spectral method to nonlinear wave propagation, *J. Comp. Phys.*, Vol. 22, 501—516.
- [51] Strauss, W. A., 1978, The nonlinear Schrödinger equation, contemporary developments in continuum mechanics and partial differential equations, Ed. G. de la Penha and L. Medeiros, North Holland, 452—465.
- [52] Strauss, W. and Vazquez, I., 1978, Numerical solution of a nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, *J. Comp. Phys.*, Vol. 28, 271—278.
- [53] Swartz, B. and Wendroff, B., 1974, The relation between the Galerkin and collocation methods using smooth splines, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, Vol. 11, 994—996.
- [54] Tappert F., 1974, Numerical solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation and its step Fourier method, in “nonlinear wave motion”, ed by Newell, A. C., 215—216. Providence.
- [55] Vliegenthart, A. C., 1971, On finite difference methods for the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Journal of Engineering Mathematics*, Vol. 5, 137—155.
- [56] Wahlbin, L. B., 1974, A dissipative Galerkin method for the numerical solution of first order hyperbolic equations, *Math. Aspects of finite elements in partial differential equations*, Ed. C. De. Boor, Academic Press, 147—169.
- [57] Watanabe S., Ohishi M., & Tanaka H., 1977, Coupled modes approach to non-linear dispersive wave I, recurrence of soliton, *J. Phys. Soc., Japan*, Vol. 42, 1382—1390.
- [58] Whitham, G. B., 1974, *Linear and Nonlinear Wave*, John Wiley, New York.
- [59] Winther, R., 1981, A conservative finite element method for the Korteweg-de Vries equation, to appear in *Math. of Comp.*
- [60] Wu Hua-mo and Kuo Pen-yu, 1983, A class of three-level difference schemes for nonlinear-revolutionary equations. *Journal on numerical methods and computer applications*, Vol. 4, 16—25.
- [61] Wu Hua-mo and Kuo Pen-yu, (1983), High order accurate difference schemes for K. D. V.-Burgers-RLW equations, *Mathematica numerica sinica*, Vol. 5, 90—98.
- [62] Zabusk, N. J. and Kruskal, M. D., 1965, Interaction of “solitons” in a collisionless plasma and the recurrence of initial states, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, Vol. 15, 240—243.
- [63] Zakharov, V. E. and Shabat, A. B., 1972, Exact theory of two-dimensional self focusing and one-dimensional self modulation of waves in nonlinear media, *Soviet Physics. J. E. T. P.*, Vol. 43, 62—69.