The Problem of Timan on the Precise Order of the Best Approximations of Multivariate Functions *

KANG Shu-gui, LIU Yong-ping

(Dept. of Math., Beijing Normal University, 100875, China)

Abstract: The problem of Timan on finding a necessary and sufficient condition for

$$\Omega^k(f, \frac{1}{\sigma})_{L_p(R^n)} = O(A_{\sigma}(f)_{L_p(R^n)}), \quad \sigma \to \infty,$$

is solved. The condition is that

$$\Omega^k(f,\delta)_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = O(\Omega^{k+1}(f,\delta)_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}), \quad \delta \to 0.$$

Key words: modulus of continuity; best appraximation; entire function of exponential sphesrical type σ .

Classification: AMS(1991) 41A25,41A27,41A63/CLC O174.41

Document code: A Article ID: 1000-341X(2001)02-0177-08

1. Introduction

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, a function $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of n variables belongs to the space $L_p = L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if it has the finite norm

$$||f||_p = \{ \int_{R^n} |f(x)|^p dx \}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \ 1 \le p < \infty; ||f||_{\infty} = \mathrm{esssup}_{x \in R^n} |f(x)|, \ p = \infty.$$

Denote by $M_{\sigma p}=M_{\sigma p}(R^n)(1\leq p\leq \infty)$ the collection of all entire functions of exponential spherical type σ which as functions of a real $x\in R^n$ lie in L_p (see [1]). For any $f\in L_p(R^n)$, the quantity $A_{\sigma}(f)=\inf_{g_{\sigma}\in M_{\sigma p}}\|f-g_{\sigma}\|_{L_p(R^n)}$ is called the best approximation of f by $M_{\sigma p}$. By [1], we know, for any $f\in L_p(R^n)$ (1 < $p<\infty$), there exists $g_{\sigma}\in M_{\sigma p}$, such that

Foundation item: Supported by the National Natrual Science Foundation of China (No.19671012) and by the Doctoral Programme Foundation of Institution of Higher Education of Country Education Committee of China

Biography: KANG Shu-gui (1964-), female, M.Sc., associate professor.

^{*}Received date: 1997-10-23

 $||f - g_{\sigma}|| = A_{\sigma}(f)$. Let $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a unit vector, i.e., |h| = 1. The modulus of continuity of order k of the function f in the metric of $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ along the direction of h is the quantity

$$\omega^k(\delta) = \omega_h^k(f, \delta) = \sup_{|t| \le \delta} \|\Delta_{th}^k f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)},\tag{1}$$

where $\Delta_h^k f(x) = \sum_{l=0}^k (-1)^{l+k} C_k^l f(x+lh), (k=0,1,\cdots,)$. By [1],

$$\omega(l\delta) \le l\omega(\delta), (\delta > 0, l = 1, 2, \cdots), \ \omega^k(l\delta) \le l^k \omega^k(\delta), \quad (k, l \in N),$$

$$\omega^k(\delta) < \omega^k(\delta'), \quad (0 < \delta < \delta').$$
(2)

Accordingly,

$$\omega^{k}(l\delta) \leq (l+1)^{k} \omega^{k}(\delta), \quad (l>0, k \in N), \omega^{k+s}(\delta) \leq 2^{s} \omega^{k}(\delta). \tag{3}$$

We introduce the quantity

$$\Omega^k(f,\delta) = \Omega^k(f,\delta)_{L_p(R^n)} = \sup_{|h|=1} \omega_h^k(f,\delta)_{L_p(R^n)}, \tag{4}$$

which we will call the modulus of continuity of order k of the function f. Now, suppose that the function f has the derivatives of order ρ . Then it makes sense to speak of the derivative in the direction of any unit vector $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$f_h^{(\rho)} = \sum_{|s|=\rho} f^{(s)} h^s,$$

where $h^s = h_1^{s_1} \cdots h_n^{s_n}$, $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$. Put $\Omega^k(f^{(\rho)}, \delta) = \sup_{h \in \mathbb{R}^n, |h|=1} \omega_h^k(f_h^{(\rho)}, \delta)$, we will call this quantity the modulus of continuity of the derivatives (all of them) of order ρ of the function f. And we have

$$\Omega^k(f^{(\rho)}, l\delta) \leq (1+l)^k \Omega^k(f^{(\rho)}, \delta), (l>0, k \in N).$$

If the derivatives of order ρ of the function f belong to the space $L_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\Omega^{l}(f,\delta) \leq \delta^{\rho} \Omega^{k}(f^{(\rho)},\delta), \quad l = k + \rho.$$
 (5)

For any positive integer k, by [1],

$$A_{\sigma}(f)_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq C_{k} \Omega^{k}(f, \frac{1}{\sigma})_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}, \tag{6}$$

where C_k is independent of f and σ .

In this paper, we concerns conditions on f with which the reverse inequality holds

$$\Omega^k(f, \frac{1}{\sigma})_{L_p(R^n)} \leq EA_{\sigma}(f)_{L_p(R^n)},$$

where E is independent of σ .

For the case n = 1, Rathore^[2] has the following theorem:

Theorem A Let m be a positive integer and $f \in L_p(-\infty, +\infty)$, there exists a positive constant G such that

$$\Omega^{m}(f, \frac{1}{\sigma})_{L_{p}(R)} \leq GA_{\sigma}(f)_{L_{p}(R)}, \quad \sigma > 0,$$
(7)

if and only if there exists a positive constant F such that

$$\Omega^m(f,\delta) \le F\Omega^{m+1}(f,\delta), \quad \delta > 0.$$
(8)

We generalized this result to n-dimensional space, and proved the following result:

Theorem Let m be a positive integer and $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, (1 . There exists a positive constant G such that

$$\Omega^{m}(f,\frac{1}{\sigma})_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq GA_{\sigma}(f)_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}, \quad \sigma > 0, \tag{9}$$

if and only if there exists a positive constant F such that

$$\Omega^{m}(f,\delta)_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq F\Omega^{m+1}(f,\delta)_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}, \quad (\delta > 0).$$
 (10)

2. Some basic lemmas

Lemma 1 For any $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (1 ,

$$\Omega^{k}(f, \frac{1}{r})_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq \frac{C_{kn}}{r^{k}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{r} (\nu+1)^{k-1} A_{\nu}(f)_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}. \tag{11}$$

Proof If $g_{\sigma}(f,x) \in M_{\sigma p}$ such that $||f(x) - g_{\sigma}(f,x)||_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \inf_{g_{\sigma} \in M_{\sigma p}} ||f - g_{\sigma}||_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, for any positive $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we take natural number m such that $2^m \leq r < 2^{m+1}$

$$\Omega^{k}(f, \frac{1}{r}) \leq \Omega^{k}(f - g_{2^{m+1}}, \frac{1}{r}) + \Omega^{k}(g_{2^{m+1}}, \frac{1}{r}). \tag{12}$$

Moreover,

$$egin{aligned} \Omega^k(g_{2^{m+1}},rac{1}{r})_{L_p(R^n)} &\leq rac{1}{r^k} \sup_{h \in R^n, |h|=1} \{\int_{R^n} |\sum_{|s|=k} g_{2^{m+1}}^{(s)} h^s|^p \mathrm{d}x \}^{rac{1}{p}} \ &\leq rac{1}{r^k} \sup_{h \in R^n, |h|=1} \sum_{|s|=k} |h^s| [\{\int_{R^n} |g_1^{(s)}|^p \mathrm{d}x \}^{rac{1}{p}} + \ &\sum_{
u=0}^m \{\int_{R^n} |g_{2^{
u+1}}^{(s)}(f,x) - g_{2^{
u}}^{(s)}(f,x)|^p \mathrm{d}x \}^{rac{1}{p}}], \end{aligned}$$

For every $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{ \int_{R^n} |g_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(s)}(f,x) - g_{2^{\nu}}^{(s)}(f,x)|^p \mathrm{d}x \}^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq (2^{\nu+1})^{|s|} \{ \int_{R^n} |g_{2^{\nu+1}}(f,x) - g_{2^{\nu}}(f,x)|^p \mathrm{d}x \}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq 2^{(\nu+1)k+1} A_{2^{\nu}}(f) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\{\int_{R^n}|g_1^{(s)}(f,x)|^p\mathrm{d}x\}^{\frac{1}{p}}=\{\int_{R^n}|g_1^{(s)}(f,x)-g_0^{(s)}(f,x)|^p\mathrm{d}x\}^{\frac{1}{p}}\leq 2A_0(f).$$

It follows that $\Omega^k(g_{2^{m+1}},\frac{1}{r})_{L_p(R^n)} \leq c(k,n)\frac{2}{r^k}[A_0(f)+\sum_{\nu=0}^m 2^{(\nu+1)k}A_{2^{\nu}}(f)]$. Taking account also of the fact that

$$2^{(\nu+1)k}A_{2^{\nu}}(f) \le 2^{2k} \sum_{\mu=2^{\nu-1}+1}^{2^{\nu}} \mu^{k-1}A_{\mu}(f), \tag{13}$$

we obtain the estimate

$$\Omega^k(g_{2^{m+1}},\frac{1}{r}) \leq c(k,n) \frac{2^{2k+1}}{r^k} [A_0(f) + A_1(f) + \sum_{\nu=1}^m \sum_{\mu=2^{\nu-1}+1}^{2^{\nu}} \mu^{k-1} A_{\mu}(f)]$$

$$\leq \frac{c_{kn}}{r^k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^m} (\nu+1)^{k-1} A_{\nu}(f). \tag{14}$$

By (4) and (13), we have

$$\Omega^{k}(f - g_{2^{m+1}}, \frac{1}{r}) \le \frac{c_{k}}{r^{k}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{2^{m}} (\mu + 1)^{k-1} A_{\mu}(f). \tag{15}$$

Combining (14), (15) and (12), we arrive at the inequality (11).

Lemma 2 If

$$|t|^k \int_{|t|<|u|<1} \frac{\Omega^k(f,|u|)}{|u|^{k+n}} du = O(\Omega^k(f,|t|)), \quad |t| \to 0,$$
(16)

then

$$\Omega^{k}(|t|) = \Omega^{k}(f,|t|) = O\left[\frac{1}{v^{k}|lnv|}\Omega^{k}(v|t|)\right]$$
(17)

uniformly with respect to all positive $v \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < |t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof For any positive $v \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < |t| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, take positive integer m, r, such that

$$\frac{1}{r+1} < |t| \le \frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{m+1} < v \le \frac{1}{m},$$

$$\begin{split} |t|^k \int_{|t| \le |u| \le 1} \frac{\Omega^k(f, |u|)}{|u|^{k+n}} \mathrm{d}u &\ge \frac{1}{(r+1)^k} \int_{\frac{1}{r} \le |u| \le 1} \frac{\Omega^k(f, |u|)}{|u|^{k+n}} \mathrm{d}u \\ &= \frac{1}{(r+1)^k} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r-1} \int_{\frac{1}{\nu+1} \le |u| \le \frac{1}{\nu}} \frac{\Omega^k(f, |u|)}{|u|^{k+n}} \mathrm{d}u \\ &\ge \frac{1}{(r+1)^k} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r-1} \Omega^k(f, \frac{1}{\nu+1}) \int_{\frac{1}{\nu+1} \le |u| \le \frac{1}{\nu}} \frac{1}{|u|^{k+n}} \mathrm{d}u \\ &\ge \frac{a_{kn}}{(r+1)^k} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r-1} \nu^{k-1} \Omega^k(f, \frac{1}{\nu}). \end{split}$$

It follows that when (16) is satisfied

$$\frac{1}{(m+1)^k(r+1)^k} \sum_{\nu=1}^{mr} \nu^{k-1} \Omega^k(\frac{1}{\nu}) \le b_{kn} \Omega^k(f, \frac{1}{mr}). \tag{18}$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{(r+1)^k} \sum_{\nu=1}^{mr} \nu^{k-1} \Omega^k \left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right) = \frac{1}{(r+1)^k} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{\nu=(j-1)r+1}^{jr} \nu^{k-1} \Omega^k \left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right) \\
\geq \frac{1}{(r+1)^k} \sum_{j=1}^m \Omega^k \left(\frac{1}{jr}\right) \sum_{\nu=(j-1)r+1}^{jr} \nu^{k-1} \\
\geq \alpha_k \sum_{j=1}^m \Omega^k \left(\frac{1}{jr}\right) j^{k-1}.$$

For any positive integer $j \geq 1$, we have the inequality:

$$\Omega^k(rac{1}{r}) \leq j^k \Omega^k(rac{1}{jr}).$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{(r+1)^k}\sum_{\nu=1}^{mr}\nu^{k-1}\Omega^k(\frac{1}{\nu})\geq \alpha_k\Omega^k(\frac{1}{r})\sum_{i=1}^m\frac{1}{j},$$

i.e.,

$$\Omega^{k}(\frac{1}{r}) = O(\left\{\frac{1}{(r+1)^{k} \ln m} \sum_{\nu=1}^{mr} \nu^{k-1} \Omega^{k}(\frac{1}{\nu})\right\}).$$

Combining the last relation with the inequality (18), we obtain

$$\Omega^k(rac{1}{r}) = O(rac{m^k}{\ln m}\Omega^k(rac{1}{mr})),$$

$$\Omega^k(|t|) = O(\frac{1}{v^k |\ln v|} \Omega^k(v|t|)).$$

Lemma 3 If condition (16) holds, then

$$\frac{1}{r^k} \sum_{\nu=0}^r (\nu+1)^{k-1} A_{\nu}(f)_{L_p(R^n)} = O(A_r(f)_{L_p(R^n)}), \quad (r \to \infty).$$
 (19)

Proof If condition (16) holds, by inequality (6),

$$\frac{1}{r^{k}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{r} (\nu+1)^{k-1} A_{\nu}(f)_{L_{p}(R^{n})} \leq \frac{C_{kn}}{r^{k}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{r} (\nu+1)^{k-1} \Omega^{k}(f, \frac{1}{\nu+1})_{L_{p}(R^{n})}$$

$$= O(\frac{1}{(r+2)^{k}} \int_{\frac{1}{r+2} \leq |u| \leq 1} \frac{\Omega^{k}(f, |u|)}{|u|^{k+n}} du) \leq \beta_{k} \Omega^{k}(f, \frac{1}{r}), (r \geq 1). \tag{20}$$

Besides, for any integer $m \ge 1$ we have

$$\Omega^k(f, rac{1}{mR}) \leq rac{C_k}{m^k r^k} \sum_{
u=0}^{mr} (
u+1)^{k-1} A_
u(f)$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{\nu=r+1}^{mr} (\nu+1)^{k-1} A_{\nu}(f)_{L_p(R^n)} \geq \frac{m^k r^k}{C_k} \Omega^k(f, \frac{1}{mr})_{L_p(R^n)} - \beta_k r^k \Omega^k(f, \frac{1}{r})_{L_p(R^n)},$$

i.e.,

$$A_r(f)_{L_p(R^n)} \sum_{|\nu|=r+1}^{mr} (\nu+1)^{k-1} \geq \frac{m^k r^k}{C_k} \Omega^k(f, \frac{1}{mr})_{L_p(R^n)} - \beta_k r^k \Omega^k(f, \frac{1}{r})_{L_p(R^n)}$$

or

$$A_r(f)_{L_p(R^n)} \geq rac{m^k r^k}{C_k(m+1)^k (r+1)^k} \Omega^k(f,rac{1}{mr})_{L_p(R^n)} - eta_k rac{r^k}{(m+1)^k (r+1)^k} \Omega^k(f,rac{1}{r})_{L_p(R^n)} \ \geq rac{1}{C_k 4^k} \Omega^k(f,rac{1}{mr})_{L_p(R^n)} - rac{eta_k}{m^k} \Omega^k(f,rac{1}{r})_{L_p(R^n)}.$$

By Lemma 2,

$$\Omega^k(f,\frac{1}{r})_{L_p(R^n)} = O(\frac{m^k}{\ln m}\Omega^k(f,\frac{1}{mr}))_{L_p(R^n)}.$$

It follows that m may be choosen in such a way that,

$$\frac{\beta_k}{m^k}\Omega^k(f,\frac{1}{r})_{L_p(R^n)} \leq \frac{1}{C_k 8^k}\Omega^k(f,\frac{1}{mr})_{L_p(R^n)}.$$

Thus,

$$A_r(f) \geq \frac{1}{C_k 8^k} \Omega^k(f, \frac{1}{mr})_{L_p(R^n)} \geq \frac{1}{C_k 8^k m^k} \Omega^k(f, \frac{1}{r})_{L_p(R^n)},$$

or

$$\Omega^k(f, \frac{1}{r})_{L_p(R^n)} \le M_k A_r(f). \tag{21}$$

Combining (20), (21), we obtain (19).

Lemma 4 If condition (16) holds, then

$$\Omega^k(f, \frac{1}{\sigma})_{L_p(R^n)} \le EA_{\sigma}(f). \tag{22}$$

Proof For any $\sigma > 0$, take a positive integer N, such that $N \leq \sigma < N + 1$, by Lemma 3, we have

$$\Omega^{k}(f, \frac{1}{\sigma})_{L_{p}(R^{n})} \leq \Omega^{k}(f, \frac{1}{N})_{L_{p}(R^{n})} \leq \frac{B_{k}}{N^{k}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{N} (\nu+1)^{k-1} A_{\nu}(f)_{L_{p}(R^{n})} \\
\leq \frac{B_{k}}{N^{k}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{N+1} (\nu+1)^{k-1} A_{\nu}(f)_{L_{p}(R^{n})} \leq 2^{k} B_{k} C_{k} A_{N+1}(f)_{L_{p}(R^{n})} \\
\leq 2^{k} B_{k} C_{k} A_{\sigma}(f)_{L_{p}(R^{n})}.$$

Put $E = 2^k B_k C_k$, then (22) holds.

Lemma 5 If condition (19) holds, then (16) follows.

Proof For any |t| > 0, take a positive integer r, such that $\frac{1}{r+1} < |t| \le \frac{1}{r}$

$$|t|^{k} \int_{|t| \le |u| \le 1} \frac{\Omega^{k}(f, |u|)}{|u|^{k+n}} du \le \frac{1}{r^{k}} \int_{\frac{1}{r+1} \le |u| \le 1} \frac{\Omega^{k}(f, |u|)}{|u|^{k+n}} du$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^{k}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \int_{\frac{1}{\nu+1} \le |u| \le \frac{1}{\nu}} \frac{\Omega^{k}(f, |u|)}{|u|^{k+n}} du$$

$$\le \frac{1}{r^{k}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \Omega^{k}(f, \frac{1}{\nu}) \int_{\frac{1}{\nu+1} \le |u| \le \frac{1}{\nu}} \frac{1}{|u|^{k+n}} du$$

$$\le \frac{B_{k}}{r^{k}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{r} \nu^{k-1} \Omega^{k}(f, \frac{1}{\nu}).$$

By condition (19) and Lemma 1, we have

$$\Omega^{k}(f,\frac{1}{r}) \leq \frac{C_{k}}{r^{k}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{r} (\nu+1)^{k-1} A_{\nu}(f) \leq C_{k} B_{k} A_{r}(f) = C_{k}^{*} A_{r}(f), (r \to \infty).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} |t|^k \int_{|t| \le |u| \le 1} \frac{\Omega^k(f, |u|)}{|u|^{k+n}} \mathrm{d}u &\le \frac{B_k}{r^k} \sum_{\nu=0}^r \nu^{k-1} \Omega^k(f, \frac{1}{\nu}) \le \frac{C_k^* B_k}{r^k} \sum_{\nu=0}^r \nu^{k-1} A_{\nu}(f) \\ &= O(A_r(f)) = O(\Omega^k(f, \frac{1}{r+1})) = O(\Omega^k(f, |t|)), \ (|t| \to 0). \end{split}$$

— 183 —

3. The proof of theorem

Proof If (9) holds, using (6) for k = m + 1, (10) follows with $F = GC_{m+1}$. Conversely, if (10) holds, using the inequality

$$\Omega^m(f,|u|) \leq (1+rac{|u|}{|t|})^m\Omega^m(f,|t|), \hspace{0.5cm} (|t|\leq |u|),$$

we get

$$\begin{split} |t|^{m+1} \int_{|t| \le |u| \le 1} \frac{\Omega^{m+1}(f,|u|)}{|u|^{m+1+n}} \mathrm{d}u &\le 2|t|^{m+1} \int_{|t| \le |u| \le 1} \frac{\Omega^m(f,|u|)}{|u|^{m+1+n}} \mathrm{d}u \\ &\le 2|t|^{m+1} \Omega^m(f,|t|) \int_{|t| \le |u| \le 1} \left[\frac{(1+\frac{|u|}{|t|})^m}{|u|^{m+1+n}} \right] \mathrm{d}u \\ &\le C_n 2^{m+1} \Omega^m(f,|t|) \le C_n 2^{m+1} F \Omega^{m+1}(f,|t|). \end{split}$$

Thus, (16) holds with k = m + 1, so that for some constant E independent of σ , we have

$$\Omega^m(f,\frac{1}{\sigma}) \leq F\Omega^{m+1}(f,\frac{1}{\sigma}) \leq FEA_{\sigma}(f)$$

and (9) follows with G = FE. We complete the proof.

By Lemma 1-Lemma 5 and Theorem, we have

Corollary If k < m, for the following statements there holds $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow$ (v) (i) $\Omega^k(f,\frac{1}{\sigma}) = O(A_{\sigma}(f))(\sigma \to \infty).$

(ii) $\Omega^{k}(f,\delta) = O(\Omega^{m}(f,\delta))(\delta \to 0).$ (iii) $|t|^{m} \int_{|t| \le |u| \le 1} \frac{\Omega^{m}(f,|u|)}{|u|^{m+n}} du = O(\Omega^{m}(f,|t|))(|t| \to 0).$ (iv) $\frac{1}{N^{m}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{N} (\nu+1)^{m-1} A_{\nu}(f) = O(A_{N}(f))(N \to \infty).$ (v) $\Omega^{m}(f,\frac{1}{\sigma}) = O(A_{\sigma}(f))(\sigma \to \infty).$

References:

- [1] NIKOL'SKII S. M. Approximation of Functions of Several Variables and Imbedding Theorems [M]. New York, 1975.
- [2] RATHORE R K S. The problem of A. F. Timan on the precise order of decrease of the best approximations [J]. J. Approx. Theory, 1994, 77: 153-166.

关于多元函数最佳逼近精确阶的 Timan 问题

康淑瑰, 刘永平 (北京师范大学数学系, 100875)

关于找一个充分必要条件使 $\Omega^k(f,\frac{1}{\sigma})_{L_p(R^n)} = O(A_{\sigma}(f)_{L_p(R^n)})(\sigma \to \infty)$ 成立的 Timan 问题被解决. 这个条件是 $\Omega^k(f,\delta)_{L_p(R^n)} = O(C_2\Omega^{k+1}(f,\delta)_{L_p(R^n)}), \delta \to 0.$